



Mergers & Acquisitions

in 68 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor: Casey Cogut

2012



Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma
ALEX
Arfidea Kadri Sahetapy-Engel Tisnadisastra (AKSET)
ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners
Baião, Castro & Associados | BCS Advogados
Bersay & Associés
Biedecki
bizconsult law LLC
Bonn & Schmitt
Bowman Gilfillan
Boyanov & Co
Carey y Cía
Casahierro Abogados
Colibri Law Firm
Corpus Legal Practitioners
Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law
Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević Law Firm
ELIG Attorneys-at-Law
Estudio Trevisán Abogados
Ferrere Abogados
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Gilbert + Tobin
Gleiss Lutz
Grata law firm
Harneys Aristodemou Loizides Yiolitis LLC
Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández
Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque
Homburger
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha
Iason Skouzos & Partners
JA Treviño Abogados
Jade & Fountain PRC Lawyers
Kettani Law Firm
Khaitan & Co
Kim & Chang
Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys
Law Office of Mohammed bin Saud Al-Rasheed in association with Baker Botts LLP
LAWIN
LAWIN Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai
Lloreda Camacho & Co
Madrona Hong Mazzuco Brandão Advogados
Mares, Danilescu & Asociatii
MJM Limited
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu
NautaDutilh
Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP
Odvetnički Šelih & partnerji, op. doo
Pérez-Llorca
RIAA LAW
Salomon Partners
Schönherr
Setterwalls Advokatbyrå
Simont Braun
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP
Slaughter and May
Stankovic & Partners
Stikeman Elliott LLP
Thanathip & Partners Legal Counsellors Limited
Ughi e Nunziante – Studio Legale
Walker Kontos Advocates
Walkers
Weil, Gotshal & Manges
Wong Beh & Toh
WongPartnership LLP
Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP



Mergers & Acquisitions 2012

Contributing editor

Casey Cogut
Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

Business development managers

Alan Lee
George Ingledew
Robyn Hetherington
Dan White

Marketing managers

Ellie Nottley
Alice Hazard

Marketing assistants

William Bentley
Zosia Demkowicz

Admin assistant

Megan Friedman

Marketing manager (subscriptions)

Rachel Nurse
Subscriptions@
GettingTheDealThrough.com

Assistant editor

Adam Myers

Editorial assistant

Lydia Geroges

Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

Subeditors

Martin Forrest
Caroline Rawson

Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

Publisher

Richard Davey

Mergers & Acquisitions 2012

Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910
© Law Business Research Ltd 2012

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

ISSN 1471-1230

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of May 2012, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
Encompass Print Solutions
Tel: 0844 2480 112

**Law
Business
Research**



Global Overview Casey Cogut and Sean Rodgers <i>Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP</i>	4
European Overview Stephen Hewes and Richard Thexton <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	6
Albania Shpati Hoxha <i>Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha</i>	8
Argentina Pablo Trevisán, Laura Bierzychudek and Walter Beveraggi <i>Estudio Trevisán Abogados</i>	15
Australia Neil Pathak, David Clee and Alex Kauye <i>Gilbert + Tobin</i>	21
Austria Christian Herbst <i>Schönherr</i>	28
Belgium Sandrine Hirsch and Vanessa Marquette <i>Simont Braun</i>	35
Bermuda Peter Martin and Andrew Martin <i>MJM Limited</i>	42
Bolivia Carlos Pinto-Meyer and Cristian Bustos <i>Ferrere Abogados</i>	48
Brazil Maria PQ Brandão Teixeira <i>Madrona Hong Mazzuco Brandão Advogados</i>	52
Bulgaria Yordan Naydenov, Angel Angelov and Nevena Kostadinova <i>Boyanov & Co</i>	58
Canada Richard E Clark and Curtis A Cusinato <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	66
Merger Control in Canada Susan M Hutton <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	71
Cayman Islands Rob Jackson and Ramesh Maharaj <i>Walkers</i>	74
Chile Pablo Iacobelli and Cristián Eyzaguirre <i>Carey y Cía</i>	79
China Lawrence Guo, Henry Xiao and Sophie Sha <i>Jade & Fountain PRC Lawyers</i>	84
Colombia Enrique Álvarez, Santiago Gutiérrez and Tomás Calderón <i>Lloreda Camacho & Co</i>	90
Croatia Damir Topić and Mate Lovrić <i>Divjak, Topić & Bahtjarević Law Firm</i>	97
Cyprus Nancy Erotocritou <i>Harneys Aristodemou Loizides Yiolitis LLC</i>	101
Denmark Thomas Weisbjerg, Jakob Mosegaard Larsen and Martin Rudbæk Nielsen <i>Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP</i>	106
Dominican Republic Roberto Rizik Cabral, Sarah De León and Claudia Taveras <i>Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández</i>	112
England & Wales Michael Corbett <i>Slaughter and May</i>	117
France Sandrine de Sousa and Yves Ardaillou <i>Bersay & Associés</i>	127
Georgia Revaz Javelidze and Eka Siradze <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	133
Germany Gerhard Wegen and Christian Cascante <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	138
Ghana Kimathi Kuenyehia, Sr, Atsu Agbemabiase and Kafui Baeta <i>Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys</i>	146
Greece Theodoros Skouzos and Georgia Tsoulou <i>Iason Skouzos & Partners</i>	152
Hungary David Dederick, László Nagy and Eszter Katona <i>Weil, Gotshal & Manges</i>	158
India Rabindra Jhunjhunwala and Bharat Anand <i>Khaitan & Co</i>	164
Indonesia Johannes C Sahetapy-Engel and Kartika Putri Wohon <i>Arfidea Kadri Sahetapy-Engel Tisnadisastra (AKSET)</i>	171
Italy Fiorella Federica Alvino <i>Ughi e Nunziante – Studio Legale</i>	178
Japan Ryuji Sakai, Kayo Takigawa and Yushi Hegawa <i>Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu</i>	183
Kazakhstan Artem Timoshenko and Aliya Zhumabek <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	189
Kenya Michael Kontos, Jitin Mediratta and David Wayumba <i>Walker Kontos Advocates</i>	194
Korea Sang Hyuk Park and Gene (Gene-Oh) Kim <i>Kim & Chang</i>	199
Kuwait Ibrahim Sattout and John Cunha ASAR – <i>Al Ruwayeh & Partners</i>	204

CONTENTS

Kyrgyzstan Zhanyl Abdrakhmanova and Kerim Begaliev <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	209
Latvia Raimonds Slaidiņš and Krista Zarina <i>LAWIN</i>	214
Lithuania Robertas Čiočys <i>LAWIN Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiūnas ir partneriai</i>	219
Luxembourg Alex Schmitt, Chantal Keereman and Philipp Mössner <i>Bonn & Schmitt</i>	227
Macedonia Emilija Kelesoska Shojjakovska and Elena Miceva <i>Debarliev, Dameski & Kelesoska Attorneys at Law</i>	232
Malaysia Wong Tat Chung <i>Wong Beh & Toh</i>	238
Mexico Daniel I Puente Medina and Mauricio Garza Bulnes <i>JA Treviño Abogados</i>	244
Morocco Nadia Kettani <i>Kettani Law Firm</i>	249
Netherlands Willem Calkoen and Martin Grablowitz <i>NautaDutilh</i>	255
Nigeria Theophilus Emuwa, Chinyerugo Ugoji and Ayoyinka Ayeni <i>ÆLEX</i>	261
Norway Ole K Aabø-Evensen <i>Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma</i>	267
Pakistan Bilal Shaukat, Mayhar Kazi and Mahum S Shere <i>RIAA LAW</i>	277
Peru Percy Castle and Carlos Carrasco <i>Casahierro Abogados</i>	283
Poland Ludomir Biedecki and Radosław Biedecki <i>Biedecki</i>	289
Portugal Victor de Castro Nunes, Maria José Andrade Campos and Cláudia de Meneses <i>Baião, Castro & Associados BCS Advogados</i>	296
Romania Simona Mares and Lucian Danilescu <i>Mares, Danilescu & Asociatii</i>	303
Russia Anton Klyachin and Igor Kuznets <i>Salomon Partners</i>	309
Saudi Arabia Babul Parikh and O Ali Anekwe <i>Law Office of Mohammed bin Saud Al-Rasheed in association with Baker Botts LLP</i>	314
Serbia Nenad Stankovic, Dusan Vukadin and Sara Pendjer <i>Stankovic & Partners</i>	321
Singapore Ng Wai King and Chan Sing Yee <i>WongPartnership LLP</i>	328
Slovenia Nataša Pipan Nahtigal and Jera Majzelj <i>Odvetniki Šelih & partnerji, op, doo</i>	336
South Africa Ezra Davids and David Yuill <i>Bowman Gilfillan</i>	343
Spain Vicente Conde <i>Pérez-Llorca</i>	349
Sweden Anders Söderlind, Anders Holmgren and Ola Grahn <i>Setterwalls Advokatbyrå</i>	356
Switzerland Claude Lambert, Dieter Gericke, Dieter Grünblatt and Gerald Brei <i>Homburger</i>	362
Tajikistan Denis Bagrov and Shirinbek Miliikbekov <i>Colibri Law Firm</i>	370
Thailand Thanathip Pichedvanichok and Issariya Vimonrat <i>Thanathip & Partners Legal Counsellors Limited</i>	374
Turkey Salih Tunç Lokmanhekim and Saniye Simge Eren <i>ELIG Attorneys-at-Law</i>	379
United Arab Emirates Patrick Ko and Omar Momany <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP</i>	387
United States Casey Cogut and Sean Rodgers <i>Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP</i>	393
United States, Delaware Rolin P Bissell and Elena C Norman <i>Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP</i>	398
Uzbekistan Babur Karimov and Nodir Yuldashev <i>Grata law firm</i>	403
Venezuela Jorge Acedo <i>Hoet Peláez Castillo & Duque</i>	409
Vietnam Tuan Nguyen, Phong Le, Hanh Bich, Huyen Nguyen, Hai Ha and Thuy Huynh <i>bizconsult law LLC</i>	413
Zambia Sharon Sakuwaha, Lupiya Simusokwe and Robin Msoni <i>Corpus Legal Practitioners</i>	420
Appendix: International Merger Control David E Vann Jr and Ellen L Frye <i>Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP</i>	425

Romania

Simona Mares and Lucian Danilescu

Mares, Danilescu & Asociatii

1 Types of transaction

How may businesses combine?

Under Romanian law, business combinations are achieved through one of the following legal structures:

Mergers

Two types of mergers are regulated by the Romanian legislation, namely merger by absorption and merger by consolidation. The outcome to the first type of merger is that the absorbing company acquires the patrimony of one or more companies which cease(s) to exist and the shares (plus a 10 per cent cash payment applied to the nominal value of such shares, if case) of the absorbing company are distributed to the shareholders of the absorbed company. The outcome to the second type of merger is that the rights and the obligations of the companies which cease to exist are transferred to a newly set up company and the shares (plus a 10 per cent cash payment applied to the nominal value of such shares, if case) of the new company are distributed to the shareholders of the initial companies. These mergers are allowed between different types of companies (local and EEA-based companies).

Private and public acquisitions of shares

The Companies Law is the main legal framework for the private acquisition of shares in Romanian companies. As a rule, there are two ways of acquiring shares of a Romanian company:

The acquisition of the existing shares

There are mandatory requirements (ie, the quorum and the mandatory majority in the general meeting of shareholders (GMS)) with respect to the transfer of shares in limited liability companies to third parties whereas the shares in joint-stock companies can be acquired freely by third parties, as a principle. There are specific capital market regulations that must be complied with in case of acquisition of shares in listed companies and public takeover offers.

For tax reasons, the acquisition of shares in a Romanian company is performed through a special purpose vehicle located in a tax favourable jurisdiction.

Contribution to share capital increase

An investor interested in obtaining a contribution in a Romanian company may subscribe to the share capital of the target company either in cash and/or in kind. The share capital increase of a company through share public offer must observe closely the mandatory provisions of the capital markets.

Acquisition of assets

The asset deal is mainly regulated by the Civil Code and the Companies Law. The assets can be paid in cash or with shares. The acquisition of assets by non-resident companies was performed through a Romanian special vehicle company, should such assets represented land (building plots, agriculture land, forestry) located in Romania

due to the limitations regarding the transfer of ownership over this types of immoveable property. As of 1 January 2012, the limitation regarding the acquisition of building plots by non-residents is no longer applicable to EEA based companies, provided the five-year transitory period as of Romania joining the EU passed. As regards the acquisition of agricultural and forestry land by the non-resident companies based in EEA, the limitation applicable thereto is still in force until 1 January 2014.

Business transfers

As regards the transfer of business either partially or totally, special consideration must be given to the provisions of the Labour Code and the Competition Law.

Privatisation

As per the Privatisation Law, the Romanian state's stake may be diminished through one of the following methods:

- sell of shares (the most frequent);
- share capital increase;
- transfer of the assets against no consideration, in special cases; or
- any combination of the above.

Demergers

The patrimony of a company that ceases to exist, without liquidation, can be transferred either totally (total spin-off) or partially (partial spin-off) to one or several already existing companies, to start-ups or to a combination of both and the latter's shares (plus a 10 per cent cash payment applied to the nominal value of such shares, if case) are distributed to the shareholders of the initial company, according to the Companies Law and following the provisions of the articles of association of the companies involved in the process.

Joint ventures

Without representing a legal entity, the joint venture (JV) is highly preferred to the incorporated project companies, especially in the public procurement sector, which is very active in Romania, currently. The characteristics of the JV and the activity thereto are mainly regulated by the Civil Code and the Tax Law. The JV does not have a patrimony of its own, provided the ownership right over the assets put together by the partners involved continues to belong to the latter and not to the JV 'itself'. The partners' contribution to the benefits and losses can be different than their initial contribution to the JV. Every JV must have a leader. The latter is granted with the faculty to represent the JV towards third parties, to register the JV with the relevant tax authorities and to keep the bookkeeping of the JV. Third parties do not have obligations against the JV but against the partners of the JV that entered into business relationship with them, which are responsible 'in solidum'.

2 Statutes and regulations

What are the main laws and regulations governing business combinations?

The key Romanian law governing business combinations consists of the following legislation:

- the Companies Law;
- the Civil Code;
- the Capital Markets Law;
- the Tax Law;
- the Competition Law;
- the Privatisation Law; and
- the Labour Law.

3 Governing law

What law typically governs the transaction agreements?

Mergers, spin-offs, acquisitions of assets, share capital increases, privatisations are mandatorily governed by Romanian law. A Romanian company will remain subject to Romanian law if involved in an international merger. The share purchase agreements may be governed by any law although the transfer of shares in a Romanian company must comply with the Romanian imperative formalities according to the type of company involved.

4 Filings and fees

Which government or stock exchange filings are necessary in connection with a business combination? Are there stamp taxes or other government fees in connection with completing a business combination?

Share and asset deals

The share deal is concluded in a private signature document and is binding for the signing parties as of the execution date. The transfer of shares must be registered with the Trade Registry for enforceability against third parties and in the shareholders' registry. We underline that the resolution of the GMS approving the transfer of shares in a limited liability company to third parties is subject to 30-day term as of the publication in the Official Gazette in which creditors may file opposition. The publication (of the call of the GMS, the resolution of the former) and the registration of the share purchase agreement (SPA) are subject to fees.

The asset deal involving the transfer of land must be performed 'ad validitatem' in authentic form and is subject to notary fees. The transfer of ownership right over immoveable property other than land is binding between the parties if concluded under private signature but the registration in the Land Book is conditioned by the authentic form. The transfer of moveable assets is valid if performed under private deeds.

Corporate formalities applicable to mergers and demergers

The first step is the preliminary resolution of the GMS establishing the grounds for negotiations to be carried by the legal representatives; the resolution is registered with the Trade Registry. It is followed by the merger or spin-off project including the transferred patrimony, the exchange ratio of the share, etc. The project is published in the Official Gazette or on the official website of the company. Mergers and demergers are approved by the shareholders of the companies involved and become effective as of their duly registration with the Trade Registry. All publication and registration fees are relatively low.

Control by the Romanian Competition Council (RCC)

Any operation of acquisition of control (by means of share or asset deal or joint ventures) over a certain undertaking is subject to merger control by the RCC, provided the following turnover threshold conditions are cumulatively met:

- the combined aggregate turnover of the undertakings concerned exceeds the equivalent of €10 million and
- at least two of the undertakings concerned have achieved a Romanian turnover exceeding €4 million in the year prior to the transaction.

Filing a merger notification with the RCC is not subject to any legal deadline. However, the sanctions for implementing a merger without the prior approval of the RCC in case such approval is needed under the above-mentioned conditions, can be up to 10 per cent of the aggregate turnover of the undertaking in default. Therefore, it is advisable that the merger notification be submitted as soon as possible and prior to taking any 'irreversible' measures, such as appointing new management, changing the target company's object of activity or alter its business conduct.

The notification can also be filed based solely on the intent of closing a transaction, reflected in a document in the form of a memorandum of understanding, an agreement over the general terms of the transaction, etc.

The filing fee for a merger notification is currently amounting 4,775 lei, but is subject to periodical modification by the RCC.

The review period for a merger control operation can be up to two to three months, in cases of obtaining unconditional clearance and up to six months (or more) in case an investigation is started by the RCC or certain measures need to be implemented by the parties, in case of conditional approval of the transaction.

Upon receiving conditional or unconditional clearance from the RCC, an authorisation fee is due to be paid by the acquiring undertaking(s). This authorisation fee is calculated subject to the cumulated turnovers achieved by the acquirer(s) and the target (and its subsidiaries) in the year prior to the issuance of the clearance.

The authorisation fee shall follow the below structure (values), in direct connection with the turnover of the undertakings concerned:

Authorisation fee (€)	Turnover (€)
10,000	4,000,000 – 15,000,000
12,500	15,000,001 – 25,000,000
15,000	25,000,001 – 50,000,000
17,500	50,000,001 – 75,000,000
20,000	75,000,001 – 150,000,000
22,500	150,000,001 – 250,000,000
25,000	> 250,000,000

Merger operations at European level and falling under the scope of the EC Merger Regulation shall be assessed in accordance with the provisions of the EC rules and regulations regarding the respective filings and fees, the merger notification being filed with the European Commission.

Listed companies

Listed companies have reporting obligations that are performed on a regular basis and upon the occurrence of certain significant events.

As mentioned in question 6, certain changes in the structure of the share capital of listed companies have to be reported. Also the merger and spin-off projects have to be disclosed to CNVM and the market. The public offer prospectus/documents need the prior approval of CNVM.

As a general rule, the listed companies shall ensure that the inside information is made public by the issuer in a manner that enables fast access and complete, correct and timely assessment of the information by the public. The issuers shall promptly inform the public, but no later than 24 hours as of the coming into existence of a set of circumstances or the occurrence of an event that, albeit not yet formalised, if disclosed to public, it would have a significant impact on the prices of those financial instruments or related derivative financial instruments.

5 Information to be disclosed

What information needs to be made public in a business combination? Does this depend on what type of structure is used?

There are very few specific obligations for private companies with respect to disclosure of information related to business combinations. The directors must publish the merger or demerger project at least 30 days prior to the GMS approving such operation.

The listed companies must comply with the reporting requirements established by CNVM regulations and by the regulated markets where the securities issued by them are admitted. Such companies must inform the public, without delay, about any major new developments that are not of public knowledge, and that may, by virtue of their effect on their assets and liabilities or financial position or on the general course of their business, lead to substantial movements in the prices of their shares. This information shall be submitted to CNVM and to the operator of the regulated market where the shares issued by the company are traded without delay but no later than 48 hours as of the moment that event occurred or the date when the respective information is acknowledged by the issuer and shall be made available to the public by means of at least one national daily newspaper.

6 Disclosure of substantial shareholdings

What are the disclosure requirements for owners of large shareholdings in a company? Are the requirements affected if the company is a party to a business combination?

In mergers and acquisitions relating to privately held companies there is no obligation of special disclosure of any shareholdings.

A requirement for such disclosure applies to listed companies. Where, following the acquisition or sale of the securities issued by a company admitted to trading on a stock exchange, the proportion of voting rights held by a person reaches, exceeds or falls below 5, 10, 20, 33, 50, 75 or 90 per cent of the total voting rights, that person must notify, within a maximum of three working days from acknowledging this operation, the company and at the same time CNVM and the stock exchange. The company admitted to trading on a stock exchange that received such information must make available to the public that operation within a maximum of three working days. The voting rights shall be calculated on the basis of all the shares to which voting rights are attached even if the exercise thereof is suspended.

Said disclosures shall also apply in case of an individual or legal person who holds, directly or indirectly, financial instruments that give the right to purchase shares to which voting rights are attached, already issued by a company whose shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market.

7 Duties of directors and controlling shareholders

What duties do the directors or managers of a company owe to the company's shareholders, creditors and other stakeholders in connection with a business combination? Do controlling shareholders have similar duties?

Directors must exercise their mandate with prudence, diligence and loyalty in the best interest of the company. Moreover, they have a general obligation to maintain confidentiality regarding any sensitive commercial information of the company, even after the termination of their mandates.

A director who has personal interests, directly or indirectly, in a certain operation, contrary to the interest of the company must refrain from voting in that operation and in any consultation thereof.

When a business combination takes the form of a merger or spin-off, the directors must prepare a plan (project) for the process, respecting certain particular legal provisions. Afterwards, they have to summon the respective GMS for the approval of the process. Ulti-

mately, the directors are in charge of the mandatory proceedings with the Trade Registry and other relevant authorities and of all the required disclosures.

By contrast, the shareholders do not have specific duties except for paying in full their subscribed shares. Notwithstanding, they have a general obligation to act fairly with other shareholders and the management bodies of the company.

8 Approval and appraisal rights

What approval rights do shareholders have over business combinations? Do shareholders have appraisal or similar rights in business combinations?

In case of a merger or spin-off the directors must have the GMS prior approval with respect to the operation.

The decision regarding the acquisition of assets is usually adopted by the directors. However, the law provides two situations where the GMS approval becomes compulsory.

First, for private companies, the shareholders approval is mandatory for any sale, acquisition, lease, exchange or setting up of guarantees related to any assets of the company in case the value of such assets is exceeding half of the total book value of all the assets of the company.

Secondly, for listed companies, the acquisition, sale, exchange or set up of guarantees involving immoveable assets whose value exceed, either individually or cumulated, during a financial year, 20 per cent of the total immoveable assets, less any claims, must be priory approved by the GMS. The same rule shall apply to any leasing exceeding one year involving tangible assets, whose individual or cumulative value against the same co-contractor or persons involved or acting in concert, exceeds 20 per cent of the total value of tangible assets less any claims on the date when the legal document is concluded, as well as any associations for a period of time longer than one year which exceed the aforementioned value.

9 Hostile transactions

What are the special considerations for unsolicited transactions?

The relevant Romanian legislation does not stipulate specific provisions regarding hostile takeover procedures and does not distinguish between friendly or unsolicited bids.

Regarding the limited liability company, there are specific provisions which prohibited the transmission of shares towards third parties, without the approval of shareholders owning minimum two-thirds of the share capital.

10 Break-up fees – frustration of additional bidders

Which types of break-up and reverse break-up fees are allowed?

What are the limitations on a company's ability to protect deals from third-party bidders?

Both the break-up fees to be paid by the target company and the reverse break-up fees to be paid by the purchaser are regularly included in pre-agreements (heads of terms, letters of intent, memorandums of understanding, terms sheets) to the SPA governed by Romanian law, being assimilated to a penal clause. They represent the assessment of the damages in case the other party is in default and unreasonably doesn't conclude the deal. Such fees are calculated in consideration of the estimated expenses to be incurred with the due-diligence process (lawyers, tax advisers, financial consultants) and with the financing process. As opposed to the break-up fees, the reverse break-up fees are more difficult to enforce provided the closing of the deal by is subject to several conditions precedent (ie, satisfactory due-diligence reports). In case of litigation, the (reverse) brake-up fees cannot be reduced by the judge, as a general rule. There are few exceptions to the rule, the most important one being that the penalty (ie, the break-up fee) highly exceeds the damage that could

be forecasted by the parties upon signing the binding pre-agreement. Notwithstanding, the penalty reduced as such by the judge cannot be lower than the principal obligation (the obligation of the parties to conclude the SPA).

As regards the financial assistance, it is forbidden for a joint-stock company to grant advance payments or loans for third parties (except the employees of the company, case in which certain financial parameters must be observed) to subscribe or to acquire the company's shares. Credit institutions and other financial institutions are excluded, due to their object of activity.

11 Government influence

Other than through relevant competition regulations, or in specific industries in which business combinations are regulated, may government agencies influence or restrict the completion of business combinations, including for reasons of national security?

In the case of public offers, the form and content of the prospectus/offer document must be approved by the CNVM prior to launching of such process.

12 Conditional offers

What conditions to a tender offer, exchange offer or other form of business combination are allowed? In a cash acquisition, may the financing be conditional?

In private deals, the parties are free to insert any conditions regarding buyout offer. For many types of acquisition agreements it is customarily for the parties to negotiate conditions precedent, including the financing aspects.

With respect to listed companies, the Capital Markets Law does not provide a legal frame under which a public tender offer is conditioned by certain events. Any person wishing to make a public offer shall submit to CNVM an application for the approval of the prospectus/offer document. On the date of publishing the announcement, the offer becomes binding and the prospectus or the offer document must be disclosed to the public, in the form and content approved by CNVM.

Any significant new event or the modification of the original information presented by the prospectus or by the offer document, which is capable of affecting the investment decision, during the time when the offer is valid, shall be included in a supplement. This supplement shall be also approved by CNVM within maximum seven working days and shall be disclosed to the public.

13 Financing

If a buyer needs to obtain financing for a transaction, how is this dealt with in the transaction documents? What are the typical obligations of the seller to assist in the buyer's financing?

A distinction should be made between a transaction involving the acquisition of shares of a non-listed company and takeover bids for companies admitted on a regulated market. In case of private business combinations, the parties usually include the obtaining of proper financing by the buyer as condition precedent, without the seller necessarily undertaking to assist the purchaser in such process. The outcome depends on the negotiations between the parties provided the purchaser cannot be compelled by law to assist the buyer in obtaining financing. See also question 10 for additional information as regards the restrictions of a joint-stock company to offer financial assistance. With regard to takeover bids for listed companies, the bidder should have obtained the necessary financing for the acquisition, as a prerequisite for the approval of the bid by the CNVM.

14 Minority squeeze-out

May minority stockholders be squeezed out? If so, what steps must be taken and what is the time frame for the process?

The Companies Law regulates the specific conditions for exclusion of associates only for LLC. In the case of a joint-stock company not listed on a regulated market the squeeze-out of shareholders is not permitted by law.

The squeeze-out of minority shareholders is permitted only to listed companies and is strictly regulated by the Capital Markets Law.

The squeeze-out procedure may be performed only following a public purchase offer addressed to all shareholders in a listed company for all their holdings. In this case, the offeror has the right to require the shareholders which have not subscribed to the offer to sell the said shares at a reasonable price, if the offeror is in one of the following situations:

- it holds shares accounting for more than 95 per cent of the share capital; or
- it has acquired within the public purchase offer addressed to all shareholders and for all their holdings, shares accounting for more than 90 per cent of those targeted by the offer.

The shares issued by a listed company shall be suspended from trading as of the date the CNVM approved the announcement. Pursuant to such approval, the announcement shall be publicly disclosed through the market where the securities are traded, by publishing it in the CNVM Bulletin, on the CNVM website and two national financial newspapers within a maximum of three working days from its approval.

The price offered within a mandatory takeover bid, as well as within a voluntary takeover bid, where the offeror has acquired by subscriptions within the offer, shares accounting for more than 90 per cent of the shares targeted, is presumed to be a fair price. However, such presumption is applicable only in the situation when the offeror has exercised his squeeze-out rights within three months from the closing of the said offer. If not, the squeeze-out price shall be determined by an independent expert in accordance with international valuation standards.

The existing shareholders are obliged to sell their shares to the shareholder exercising its squeeze-out rights within a maximum of 12 working days from the date of publishing the aforementioned announcement. The intermediary shall make the payments to the respective shareholders within a maximum of five working days from the end of the 12-working-day period. Within a maximum of three working days as of lapse of five-working-day term, the proof of making the payments to the major shareholders and of establishing the account mentioned in the previous paragraph shall be submitted to the entity in charge of recording the shareholders of the issuing company in order to transfer the title over the shares. The ownership transfer over the shares will be made within a maximum of four working days from such submission of relevant documents.

15 Cross-border transactions

How are cross-border transactions structured? Do specific laws and regulations apply to cross-border transactions?

Following the implementation of the EC Merger Directive, the Romanian legislation regulates the merger between Romanian/European companies headquartered in Romanian and EEA-based companies. The particular steps and documents of the cross-border merger are similar to those for domestic mergers, including the information described in 'Update and trends'. As regards asset deals, all the data included in question 1 and in 'Update and trends' is applicable, accordingly.

Update and trends

In March 2012 Romania amended the Companies Law with a view to bringing improvements to the M&A market and to make it more efficient.

Among the most important changes, we note the following.

In certain cases, shareholders who are against the merger or spin-off may exercise their withdrawal right within 30 days as of the publication of the merger project.

Currently, the publication of the merger project on the website of the company represents an alternative to the publicity in the Official Gazette. Moreover, the Trade Registry will disclose the relevant merger project involving the company to the public, on its official website, against no consideration.

In case the in-kind share capital increase is performed in relation to a merger or demerger and to the transfer of cash to the shareholders in the initial companies that ceased to exist, the evaluation report (having as object the contributed assets) prepared and signed by experts is no longer required if the merger project was previously examined by an independent expert.

As of 1 January 2012, the limitation to acquire constructible plots of land in Romania by non-residents is no longer applicable to EEA-based companies, on a mutually recognised basis however.

As per the new Civil Code, an asset deal involving the transfer of ownership over a building must be concluded in authentic form for enforceability against third parties.

The credit crisis continued to have a direct impact on the M&A market during 2011, as the number of transactions dropped to almost 30 per cent compared with 2010, and their cumulated value plunged even more significantly. Irrespective of the first quarter of 2012 showing signs of improvement in the private business combinations, the experts' forecast is rather reserved. Business combinations in the sectors of energy, medical service, agriculture and chemical products will have an important quota of the market. The envisaged privatisations of important state-owned companies create high expectations from the players in specific sectors such as energy, transport and mineral resources as they may invigorate the market provided they are successfully concluded.

16 Waiting or notification periods

Other than as set forth in the competition laws, what are the relevant waiting or notification periods for completing business combinations?

As described in question 4, in case of a share deal, the highest waiting period is of 30 days as of the publication in the Official Gazette of the GMS resolution to transfer the shares in a limited liability company to third parties. Additional waiting periods are linked to pre-emption rights, calls of the GMS (minimum of 30 days for a joint-stock company and 10 days, if not differently provided in the by-laws for a limited liability company).

In principal, mergers and demergers are subject to:

- a 30-day waiting period as of the publication of the preliminary resolution in the Official Gazette;
- a 30-day waiting period as of the publication of the merger project in the Official Gazette or on the website of the company; and
- specific terms regarding the call of the GMS, as detailed in the paragraph above.

17 Sector-specific rules

Are companies in specific industries subject to additional regulations and statutes?

Mergers and spin-offs of credit institutions, financial service institutions and insurance companies are conditioned by the prior approval of the surveillance authorities in Romania and thus follow specific regulations.

18 Tax issues

What are the basic tax issues involved in business combinations?

The transfer of shares held by a Romanian company in another Romanian company either to a Romanian or to a non-resident entity is levied with 16 per cent.

Capital gains are computed as the difference between the sale price and the acquisition price of the shares (including any other commissions, taxes or other amounts paid upon the acquisition of the respective shares) if shares were purchased, or the shares nominal value if they were obtained through in-cash share capital contributions.

Under the domestic law, capital gains obtained by non-resident legal entities as a result of sale of shares in a Romanian legal entity, including shares held in companies largely owning Romanian real estate, are subject to corporate income tax in Romania at 16 per cent, unless more favourable treatment is applicable under a relevant DTT. As explained in question 1, when forecasting the exit, the investors prefer to use SPVs located in a tax favourable jurisdiction.

Thus, as a rule, under the DTT, the non-resident seller will be exempted from payment of tax on any capital gains arising from the sale of the shares held in a Romanian company, provided that it is tax non-resident in Romania with no permanent establishment in Romania, regardless of the value of its real estate assets in the total assets of the company.

Provided the Mergers Directive was implemented in the Romanian legislation, the mergers, spin-offs, exchanges of shares or contributions of assets benefit of tax-neutral treatment if certain conditions are met.

Any type of partial or total transfer of assets (ie, transfer of a going concern, irrespective of its form) forming an independent autonomous business is not considered supply of goods if the beneficiary is a taxable person and thus is not subject to VAT. In addition, the beneficiary is regarded as the assignor's successor for purposes of adjustment of the VAT deduction right.

As regards the asset deals, the seller usually applies 24 per cent VAT. There are few transactions exempted of VAT including transfer of old buildings and non-constructible land plots. However, the option to tax these operations is available to the seller. The option is exercised by submitting a written notification to the relevant tax office.

Special consideration should be given to the taxation of the joint venture companies and to the gross-up clauses in a share purchase agreement.

19 Labour and employee benefits

What is the basic regulatory framework governing labour and employee benefits in a business combination?

Both the Labour Code and the Law regarding the protection of employees' rights in the event of a transfer of business unit or parts thereof (implementing the Directive 2001/23/EC) provide a protection regime applicable to the employees, in case of transfer of business.

The transferee employer must observe the rights of the employees under their initial employment contracts and under the collective bargaining agreement applicable to the transferor employer, as the case may be. Consequently, the rights and obligations provided by the employment contracts of the transferred employees will be entirely transferred to the transferee.

The Labour Code states broadly the obligation of the transferor employer to inform and consult the trade unions or the employees' representatives with respect to the legal, economic and social consequences towards the employees, resulting from the transfer of business.

The Law regarding the protection of employees' rights provides detailed regulation regarding the term and content of the notice to be sent to the trade unions or the employees' representatives. In case the transferor or transferee envisages measures regarding its own employees, it will consult the employees' representatives with a view to reach an agreement, at least 30 days prior to the transfer.

Moreover, the transferor and the transferee must inform in writing the representative of its own employees, or their own employees, at least 30 days prior to the transfer, with respect to (i) the (proposed) transfer date; (ii) the reasons for transfer; (iii) the legal, economic and social consequences of the transfer on the employees; (iv) the measures to be taken with respect to the employees; and (v) the work conditions at the new place of work.

The obligation to consult the employees' representatives should not be interpreted as establishing the obligation to obtain the employees' approval with respect to the measures to be undertaken in relation to the transfer, although the consultation procedures must be followed with a view to reach such agreement.

20 Restructuring, bankruptcy or receivership

What are the special considerations for business combinations involving a target company that is in bankruptcy or receivership or engaged in a similar restructuring?

According to the Law regarding the protection of employees' rights, the target company in bankruptcy or reorganisation procedure does not have to transfer to the new owner its rights and obligations deriving from the individual employment contracts and the applicable collective bargaining contract.

Moreover, the Insolvency Law provides that either the receiver or the liquidator may file actions for declaring void any fraudulent the following: acts concluded by the debtors and causing damage to the creditors' rights, certain asset transfers and business operations entered into by the debtor and the established guarantees likely to prejudice the creditors' rights.

Upon the commencement of the insolvency procedure, the share transfer is subject to the approval of the syndic judge. Also the receiver may decide within the reorganisation plan to issue new shares, to take part in a merger or to sell assets of the target company.

21 Anti-corruption and sanctions

What are the anti-corruption and economic sanctions considerations in connection with business combinations?

Depending on certain conditions or circumstances provided by the law, there are specific sanctions imposed on individuals or legal entities from fines to imprisonment and penalties including: (i) prohibition to undertake, directly or indirectly, the professional or social activity in which or on the occasion of which the offence was committed; (ii) placement under judicial supervision; and (iii) disqualification from public tenders.

MAREȘ / DANILESCU / ASOCIAȚII

attorneys at law

Simona Mares
Lucian Danilescu

simona.mares@mares.ro
lucian.danilescu@mares.ro

55-55bis Carol I Blvd. 2nd District
Bucharest 020915
Romania

Tel: +40 31 437 8324
Fax: +40 31 437 8327
www.mares.ro

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport	Licensing
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Life Sciences
Arbitration	Merger Control
Banking Regulation	Mergers & Acquisitions
Cartel Regulation	Mining
Climate Regulation	Oil Regulation
Construction	Patents
Copyright	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Corporate Governance	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Immigration	Private Equity
Dispute Resolution	Product Liability
Dominance	Product Recall
e-Commerce	Project Finance
Electricity Regulation	Public Procurement
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Real Estate
Environment	Restructuring & Insolvency
Foreign Investment Review	Right of Publicity
Franchise	Securities Finance
Gas Regulation	Shipbuilding
Insurance & Reinsurance	Shipping
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Tax on Inbound Investment
Labour & Employment	Telecoms and Media
	Trademarks
	Vertical Agreements



For more information or to purchase books, please visit:
www.GettingTheDealThrough.com



Strategic research partners of the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



The Official Research Partner of the International Bar Association